



2025 ESG REPORT

Table of Contents

- Message from THE CEO 1
 - A Streamlined Reporting Approach 1
 - 2025 Performance Highlights..... 2
 - Climate Risk and Enterprise Risk Management 3
 - Emissions Calculation Methodology and Reporting Environment 4
 - Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Reporting Changes 4
 - Looking Ahead..... 4
- Appendices 6
 - Appendix A: ESG Performance Data 7
 - Appendix B: SASB Index.....12
 - Appendix C: TCFD Index15
 - Appendix D: EIC GPA Midstream Index.....17
- CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS.....22

Message from THE CEO

To Our Stakeholders,

At Summit, our focus remains on operating safe, reliable, and efficient midstream infrastructure assets while creating long-term value for our shareholders, customers, employees, and the communities where we operate. Operational discipline, responsible growth, regulatory compliance, and strong governance continue to be foundational components of how we manage our business and execute our strategy.



J. Heath Deneke
President, CEO, and Chairman
Summit Midstream Corporation

A Streamlined Reporting Approach

Over the past several years, expectations surrounding environmental, social, and governance (“ESG”) priorities and disclosures have evolved significantly. In response to growing stakeholder interest, we expanded our public disclosures to provide detailed narrative discussion regarding our programs, initiatives, and performance across a broad range of ESG topics.

As investor priorities, regulatory frameworks, and broader market expectations continue to evolve, across the energy infrastructure sector there is increased emphasis on operational execution, disciplined capital allocation, balance sheet strength, and long-term shareholder value creation. At the same time, the ESG and climate disclosure landscape has become increasingly dynamic, with evolving regulatory requirements, ongoing legal and policy developments, and changing stakeholder expectations regarding the scope and format of corporate sustainability reporting. Against this backdrop, we intend to provide meaningful transparency while reducing unnecessary complexity and reporting burden.



Considering these developments, we have chosen to streamline this year’s sustainability and corporate responsibility disclosures. We are focusing our disclosure on the quantitative metrics and key data presented in the appendix tables that we believe remain most relevant and decision-useful to stakeholders.

2025 Performance Highlights

While this year’s report is more concise, several aspects of our 2025 performance are noteworthy.

Most importantly, our continued safety focus that began in 2024 is clearly reflected in our 2025 operational performance. Our Total Recordable Incident Rate (“TRIR”) improved significantly from 2.73 to 0.67, and our Lost Time Incident Rate (“LTIR”) was zero for the year. These results reflect the commitment of our employees and leadership teams across the organization to strengthen our safety culture, improving operational discipline, and executing the safety improvement initiatives implemented during the year.

We are also proud of the continued engagement and generosity demonstrated by our employees and the Company in supporting the communities where we operate. In 2025, combined employee charitable contributions and Company matching donations and sponsorships totaled just under \$120,000 — the first time we have exceeded the \$100,000 milestone.

In addition, our regulatory profile continues to evolve alongside our asset portfolio. Approximately 10% of the pipelines we operate are now regulated by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (“FERC”), compared to approximately 20% previously.

During 2025, Summit also continued to execute on several strategic growth initiatives that expanded and strengthened our operational footprint. Most notably, 2025 represented the first full year of operations associated with the Tall Oak asset acquired in December 2024, as well as the integration of the Moonrise asset acquired in March 2025. In addition, we continued to advance commercial and operational growth opportunities across our systems through expanded infrastructure utilization, increased operational activity, and continued customer development. These initiatives reflect our ongoing focus on disciplined growth, operational execution, and enhancing the long-term value of our integrated midstream platform.

Climate Risk and Enterprise Risk Management

Summit continues to evaluate climate-related risks and opportunities as part of its broader enterprise risk management framework. These considerations include both physical risks, such as the potential operational impacts of severe weather and changing environmental conditions, as well as transition risks associated with evolving regulatory requirements, emissions reporting frameworks, energy markets, and stakeholder expectations.

Consistent with our overall risk management approach, climate-related factors are evaluated alongside other operational, strategic, financial, and compliance risks. We continue to maintain and enhance our data collection, monitoring, and reporting processes to support operational decision-making, regulatory compliance, and evolving stakeholder information needs.

Emissions Calculation Methodology and Reporting Environment

As the regulatory and reporting landscape continues to evolve, emissions accounting and disclosure requirements across the industry have become increasingly dynamic. We continue to calculate and report Scope 1 emissions consistent with the methodologies used for reporting under the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's Federal Greenhouse Gas Reporting Program (40 CFR Part 98).

During 2025, the EPA implemented significant revisions to certain emission factors and recommended calculation methodologies. These updated methodologies are generally more conservative and result in higher estimated emissions for comparable operations, particularly with respect to methane emissions. These developments reflect the broader evolution of emissions accounting standards, methane estimation methodologies, and regulatory reporting expectations across the industry.

Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Reporting Changes

Our greenhouse gas ("GHG") emissions profile changed during 2025 due to the expansion of our operational footprint and increased activity across our asset base during the year, together with the impact of updated emissions calculation methodologies. Approximately two-thirds of the increase in reported Scope 1 emissions from 2024 was attributable to the inclusion of the Tall Oak and Moonrise acquired operations in 2025. The remaining increase was attributable to the EPA methodology revisions discussed above, which resulted in higher estimated emissions for comparable operations, particularly with respect to methane emissions.

Scope 1 GHG emissions increased approximately 135% while reported methane emissions increased approximately sevenfold compared to the prior year.

Looking Ahead

The streamlined ESG report reflects our belief that safety, operational excellence, regulatory compliance, environmental stewardship, and strong governance remain fundamental components of Summit's business.

We continue to maintain the processes, controls, and data collection capabilities necessary to monitor performance across our operations and support evolving stakeholder and regulatory expectations. We also remain committed to continuous improvement across our business as we pursue disciplined growth and long-term value creation.

We appreciate the continued trust and support of our shareholders, employees, customers, and vendors and remain committed to operating responsibly and creating sustainable long-term value for all stakeholders.

Best,

J. Heath Deneke

President, CEO, and Chairman of the Board

Summit Midstream Corporation



Appendices

ESG DATA

We strive to continuously improve our data collection, monitoring, and disclosure as our ESG strategy progresses to provide decision-useful information to our investors and key stakeholders, as well as to Summit’s management team and Board. We have identified a set of metrics to monitor our performance on those ESG factors we understand are likely to have the greatest effect on our business, as indicated by the ESG materiality assessment. Many of these metrics are disclosed throughout this report and have also been consolidated in the ESG Performance Table.

- The EIC/ GPA Midstream ESG Reporting Template Index summarizes our performance on select ESG metrics from the EIC/GPA Midstream ESG Reporting Template.
- The SASB Index summarizes our performance on select ESG metrics from the SASB Oil & Gas – Midstream Sustainability Accounting Standard.
- The TCFD Index summarizes our climate-related disclosure informed by the TCFD Recommendations.

GHG EMISSION METHODOLOGY

Our methodology for calculating Gross Global Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions adheres to the Environmental Protection Agency’s (EPA) Federal GHG Reporting requirements (40 CFR 98), ensuring only verified emissions are accounted for.

Appendix A: ESG Performance Data

Accounting Metric	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025
ESG Governance					
Is at least 10% of Named Executive Officer (NEO) short-term incentive (STI) or long-term incentive (LTI) linked to E or S metrics?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Does the company tie any amount of pay for all employees to ESG objectives?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Proportion of executive compensation linked to climate considerations	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of directors that are female ¹	14%	14%	14%	14%	14%
Percentage of directors that are from minority groups ¹	14%	29%	29%	29%	29%
Percentage of independent directors ¹	86%	86%	86%	86%	86%
Does the company publish an annual proxy statement?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Number of directors receiving less than 80% of votes cast in favor	N/A	0	0	0	0
CEO pay ratio ²	28.6:1	28.38:1	43.94:1	53.30:1	43.8:1
Competitive Behavior & Business Ethics					
Operations in countries that have the 20 lowest rankings in Transparency International's Corruption Perception Index ³	None	None	None	None	None
Total amount of monetary losses as a result of legal proceedings associated with federal pipeline and storage regulations ⁴	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Number of Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) regulated pipelines	2	2	2	2	2
Percentage of pipelines that are Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) regulated ⁵	17%	17%	18%	20%	14%

1 No change in 2025. Consistent with 2024, four additional seats added in December 2024 as a result of Tall Oak acquisition are excluded from the calculation because they are designated by Tailwater Capital and not subject to the Corporation's normal governance process.

2 For 2025, we determined our median employee by ranking our employees (other than the CEO) employed as of December 31, 2025 (the "determination date") by the sum of each employee's annualized base salary, his or her actual cash bonus received in 2025 for 2024 performance, and his or her actual overtime pay received in 2025. In annualizing each employee's base salary, we used each employee's base salary rate as of the determination date. We made no full-time equivalent adjustment for any employee, we had no temporary or seasonal workers as of the determination date, and we made no cost-of-living adjustments. The annual total compensation of our median employee (other than the CEO) for 2025 was \$136,312, inclusive of 401(k) matching contributions, insurance benefits and an annual bonus payment. Our CEO's annual total compensation for 2025, for purposes of this disclosure, was determined as \$5,970,824 (see Proxy statement dated April 10, 2026 for details).

3 We operate solely in the U.S. On Transparency International's Corruption Perceptions Index, the U.S. scored 64 and ranked 29th out of 182 countries.

4 The scope of this disclosure includes losses associated with rates, pipeline access, price gouging, or price fixing, or any associated with enforcement of industry regulations such as FERC, the U.S. Commodities Futures Trading Commission, or the U.S. Federal Trade Commission.

5 Double E and Epping Transmission are the two FERC regulated pipelines out of 14 total pipeline entities.

Accounting Metric	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025
Cybersecurity					
Number of data breaches	0	0	0	0	0
Total amount of monetary losses as a result of legal proceedings associated with cybersecurity and data security	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Climate Change & Greenhouse Gas Emissions					
Gross global Scope 1 emissions ^{6,7}	425,193	383,296	484,680	386,941	907,385
Gross global Scope 2 GHG emissions ⁸	152,926	154,129	127,705	137,413	147,137
Scope 1 methane emissions (MT) ^{6,7}	3,140	2,263	2,107	1,044	7,400
Percentage of gross global Scope 1 GHG emissions that are methane	18.46%	14.76%	10.87%	7.56%	22.84%
Percentage of gross global Scope 1 GHG emissions that are covered under emissions-limiting regulations	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Scope 1 GHG emissions intensity	0.0038234	0.0032916	0.0037438	0.0033112	0.0070924
Scope 1 and 2 GHG emissions intensity	0.0051986	0.0046151	0.0047303	0.0044871	0.0082425
Revenue from Gas Gathering, Processing and Sales ⁹	\$346,799,492	\$315,676,490	\$396,824,529	\$362,612,919	\$502,535,411
Revenue from Liquids Gathering and Transmission ⁹	\$53,819,543	\$53,917,249	\$62,078,924	\$60,157,286	\$59,555,465
Aggregate natural gas volume throughput (MMcf/D) ⁹	1,371	1,485	1,597	1,435	1,620
Aggregate crude oil and produced water volume throughput (MBbl/D) ⁹	63	62	78	72	71

6 Only GHG emissions that would have been reported to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) via Federal GHG Reporting Rule (40 CFR 98) are included. As a result, facilities with Scope 1 emissions below 25,000 metric tons CO₂e are not included in the calculations based on EPA reporting threshold requirements. In 2025 the EPA proposed a deregulation action that, if finalized, would no longer subject Summit operations to GHG reporting regulation. In February 2026 the EPA extended the deadline to report emissions under 40 CFR 98 to October 30, 2026. Therefore, Summit has estimated the 2025 emissions using the same methodology but not actually submitted to the EPA.

7 US EPA has modified several emission factors and emissions estimation methodologies, especially for methane emissions. This year's emissions estimates are based on these revised emission factors. These updated emissions factors and methodology changes generally result in higher (conservative) emission estimates.

8 Scope 2 GHG emissions have been calculated by multiplying U.S. EPA eGRID2022 location-based emission factors by monthly electrical energy usage obtained from electricity bills for all assets operated by Summit Midstream.

9 We consider the revenue and throughput from various business lines as an indicator of exposure to transition climate risks.

Accounting Metric	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025
Ecological Impacts					
Percentage of land owned, leased, and/or operated within areas of protected conservation status or endangered species habitat ¹⁰	1.79%	2.24%	1.63%	2.11%	0.50%
Terrestrial acreage disturbed ¹¹	756.59	430.61	587.56	495.51	776.94
Percentage of terrestrial acreage disturbed that was restored ¹²	90%	>90%	93%	94%	92%
Number of hydrocarbon spills	55	29	33	5	36
Aggregate volume of hydrocarbon spills (bbbls)	756	126	174	202	119
Aggregate volume of hydrocarbon spills in the Arctic ¹³	0	0	0	0	0
Aggregate volume of hydrocarbon spills in Unusually Sensitive Areas (USAs)	0	0	0	0	0
Hydrocarbon liquid release intensity (Bbls spilled/Miles of pipeline operated)	0.37	0.062	0.075	0.079	0.043
Air Quality					
NOx emissions ¹⁴	175.36	154.89	247.09	259.81	775.51
SOx emissions ¹⁴	0.77	1.20	8.68	6.96	6.98
Volatile organic compounds (VOCs) emissions ¹⁴	363.63	347.77	566.91	543.51	718.12
Particulate matter 10 micrometers or less in diameter (PM10) emissions ¹⁴	14.12	12.34	30.51	24.25	48.08

- 10 Data used and methodology to determine owned/leased/operated acreage is consistent with previous years.
- a. External Data Used for Analysis:
- i. For “Protected conservation status” areas we used data from February 2026 update of the WDPa, WD-OECM and GD-PAME, Protected Planet: The World Database on Protected Areas (WDPa)
 - ii. For endangered species data we used critical habitat data from USFWS that shows all status of threatened/protected/endangered species.
- 11 The increased acreage is due to inclusion of Tall Oak and Moonrise assets acquired in 2024 and 2025 respectively.
- 12 Data used to determine currently disturbed acreage includes the following: All existing/active facility footprints; 2025 construction projects; All existing valve yard polygons outside of facilities; and any launcher/receiver and valve layers that are not touching a facility or well pad and multiplied the amount of those points times the average estimated dimensions of valves/launchers/receivers for each asset.
- 13 Summit has no assets or operations in the area north of the Arctic Circle.
- 14 Emissions are only included from facilities that report emissions to a state agency via an emission inventory (EI). Emissions from the DeBeque Gas Plant, which was sold in 2025, were not reported by Summit in 2025 and are not included. Tall Oak assets are included for all of 2025. For the Redtail Gas Plant, part of the Moonrise acquisition in March 2025, only emissions since purchase are included.

Accounting Metric	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025
Operational Safety, Emergency Preparedness & Response					
Number of reportable pipeline incidents ¹⁵	1	0	1	1	1
Percentage of PHMSA reportable pipeline incidents that were significant ¹⁶	100%	N/A	100%	100%	0%
Percentage of natural gas pipelines inspected as required by PHMSA part 192 during the specified timeframe	No inspections required	100%	No inspections required	100%	No inspections required
Percentage of hazardous liquid pipelines inspected as required by PHMSA part 195 during the specified timeframe ¹⁷	100%	No inspections required	100%	100%	100%
Number of accidental releases from rail transportation ¹⁸	0	0	0	0	0
Number of non-accident releases (NARs) from rail transportation ¹⁸	0	0	0	0	0
Average hours of emergency response training for employees	8	8	8	8	8
Workforce Health and Safety					
Total Recordable Incident Rate (TRIR) – employees ¹⁹	0.94	1.36	0.77	2.73	0.67
Fatality Rate – employees	0	0	0	0	0
Fatality Rate – contractors	0	0	0	0	0
Near Miss Frequency Rate (NMFR) – employees ²⁰	2.35	0	5.42	3.89	12.64
Lost Time Incident Rate (LTIR) – employees ²¹	0.47	0.45	0.39	1.17	0
Good Catches ²²	36	94	80	118	136
Average hours of health and safety training for employees ²³	26	26	26	26	26

- 15 Summit tracks and reports pipeline incidents according to PHMSA’s federal pipeline safety regulations for hazardous liquids and natural gas pipelines. The one reportable is October 2025 release of ~0.7 Bbls on the Moonrise System.
- 16 The one reportable incident does not meet the definition of a “significant” incident.
- 17 Pipeline inspected was the 8-inch Wildtom and 10-inch lateral to Stampede.
- 18 Summit does not currently own, operate, or use rail transportation facilities.
- 19 TRIR is included as a metric in the Summit Scorecard, with an annual goal of continuous improvement. Each incident prompts an investigation and implementation of corrective actions to prevent recurrence and enhance overall safety performance.
- 20 Calculated as (38 reported near misses x 200,000 hours)/ (601,067) hours worked. Summit encourages near miss reporting to allow us to make improvements before an actual loss occurs.
- 21 Number of lost time incidents per 200,000 hours. No incidents in 2025. Lost time incidents are incorporated into the Summit Scorecard as a metric. There is a year-over-year goal to drive improvement.
- 22 Good Catches are an internal, proprietary program to identify problems or hazards before they cause undesired results. All field employees are tasked with finding, reporting, and suggesting fixes for Good Catches. An entry is only counted once the issue is corrected. Good Catches are incorporated into the Summit Scorecard as a metric with an associated goal.
- 23 Avg hours per employee. This excludes most corporate-based employees given that their exposure to workforce hazards is significantly reduced. Training completion percentage is incorporated into the Summit Scorecard as a metric. The goal is set to 100% completion rate.

Accounting Metric	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025
Community & Indigenous Relations					
Primary assets in or near (5 km) Indigenous lands ²⁴	0	0	0	0	2
Number of non-technical delays ²⁵	1	2	4	1	1
Duration of non-technical delays ²⁵	62	75	262	35	210
Annual amount of community donations and sponsorships	NRP	\$ 39,126	\$ 59,500	\$ 83,628	\$ 117,307
Human Capital Management					
Percentage of senior management that is female ²⁶	10%	0%	0%	0%	7%
Percentage of senior management from minority groups ²⁷	10%	18%	17%	20%	7%
Percentage of the workforce that is female	18%	18%	17%	15%	17%
Percentage of the workforce from minority groups ²⁷	17%	23%	25%	24%	26%
Number of full-time employees ²⁸	209	252	245	271	296
Percentage of the workforce covered under collective-bargaining agreements	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Number of strikes and lockouts	0	0	0	0	0
Duration of strikes and lockouts	0	0	0	0	0
Underlying data from an employee satisfaction survey that is anonymous and at least annually collected and shared with the Board	NRP	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Voluntary employee turnover data collected and shared with Board	NRP	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Supply Chain Management					
Percentage of suppliers that have signed off on the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics	NRP	76%	82%	87%	91%

24 As per the Bureau of Indian Affairs Land Areas of Federally-Recognized Tribes. The two reported assets are: Tall Oak Woodford, LLC and VM Arkoma Stack, LLC.

25 The non-technical delays in 2025 were due to the BLM permitting process on our GRG system.

26 Senior management includes Vice Presidents and above.

27 Minority groups defined as per EEOC definitions. Senior Management includes Vice Presidents and above.

28 As of December 31, 2025.

Appendix B: SASB Index

ESG TOPIC	SASB CODE	ACCOUNTING METRIC	UNIT	2025 PERFORMANCE
Activity Metrics	EM-MD-000.A	Total metric ton-kilometers of: (1) natural gas, (2) crude oil, and (3) refined petroleum products transported, by mode of transport	Metric ton (t) kilometers	<p>We report our aggregate average daily throughput for natural gas and liquids as we consider these activity metrics to be more relevant to our business. Further operational data can be found in our 2025 Form 10-K.</p> <p>Aggregate average daily throughput – natural gas: 1,620 MMcf/d Aggregate average daily throughput - liquids (crude oil and produced water): 71 Mbbl/d</p>
Greenhouse Gas Emissions	EM-MD-110a.1	1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions, percentage methane, 2) percentage covered under emissions-limiting regulations	Metric tons (t) CO ₂ e, Percentage (%)	<p>Gross global Scope 1 emissions: 907,385 Metric tons (t) CO₂e Percentage methane: 22.84%</p> <p>Only GHG and methane emissions that are reported to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) via Federal GHG Reporting (40 CFR 98) were included. Reported emissions include full year data for Tall Oak following its acquisition in December 2024.</p>
	EM-MD-110a.2	Discussion of long-term and short-term strategy or plan to manage Scope 1 emissions, emissions reduction targets, and an analysis of performance against those targets	Discussion and Analysis	<p>We have not yet set climate-specific targets, we are enhancing our approach to ESG data collection generally. Over time, we expect to evaluate the feasibility and relevance of setting climate-specific targets for our operations.</p>
Air Quality	EM-MD-120a.1	Air emissions of the following pollutants: (1) NO _x (excluding N ₂ O), (2) SO _x , (3) volatile organic compounds (VOCs), and (4) particulate matter (PM ₁₀)	Metric tons (t)	<p>1) 775.51 2) 6.98 3) 718.12 4) 48.08</p> <p>Emissions were only included from facilities that reported emissions to a state agency via an emission inventory (EI).</p>

ESG TOPIC	SASB CODE	ACCOUNTING METRIC	UNIT	2025 PERFORMANCE
Ecological Impacts	EM-MD-160a.1	Description of environmental management policies and practices for active operations	Discussion and Analysis	Summit has in place an Environmental Management System (EMS) and maintains an Environmental Manual that outlines the Corporation's Environmental Policies and Procedures that comprise the EMS. The Environmental Manual includes an Environmental Policy Statement that articulates Summit's commitment to continued excellence, leadership, and stewardship in protecting the environment. In order to mitigate environmental risk associated with potential leaks, Summit has developed a comprehensive Spill Response Prevention and Countermeasure Plan (SRPC).
	EM-MD-160a.2	Percentage of land owned, leased, and/or operated within areas of protected conservation status or endangered species habitat	Percentage (%) by acreage	0.50% Asset footprint includes pipeline systems, facilities, and plants. Areas of protected conservation status were determined using the World Database of Protected Areas (WDPA) mapped on Protected Planet. Endangered species habitats were determined using protected and endangered species data from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
	EM-MD-160a.3	1) Terrestrial acreage disturbed, 2) percentage of impacted area restored	Acres (ac), Percentage (%)	1) 776.94 2) 92% The acres of land that are considered to be disturbed by our footprint consist of, above ground facilities, such as compressor stations, valve yards, and pig launchers and receivers. The increase in acreage is due to the addition of the Tall Oak and Moonrise assets. Tall Oak, which was not included in 2024, and Moonrise, acquired in 2025. while the Debeque system has been excluded following its sale in 2025. We included the footprint of active facilities and above ground appurtenances as disturbed. The 30-foot permanent right-of-way over our buried pipelines have all been restored. After the initial installation of our pipelines, we restore both the temporary construction work space and the permanent right-of-way according to the requirements in our agreements with landowners and applicable environmental and land-use regulation.
	EM-MD-160a.4	1) Number and 2) aggregate volume of hydrocarbon spills, 3) volume in Arctic, 4) volume in Unusually Sensitive Areas (USAs), and 5) volume recovered	Number, Barrels (bbls)	1) 36 2) 119 3) 0, Summit has no assets or operations in the area north of the Arctic Circle; therefore the volume of hydrocarbon spills in the Arctic is 0 barrels. 4) 0 Please note, Summit does not differentiate between spills that were less than 1 barrel or identify whether the spill was contained within impermeable secondary containment due to data constraints within our incident reporting system. We are working to improve our ESG data collection processes to better align our disclosures with ESG standards in future reports.
Competitive Behavior	EM-MD-520a.1	Total amount of monetary losses as a result of legal proceedings associated with federal pipeline and storage regulations	Reporting currency	\$0 The scope of this disclosure includes losses associated with rates, pipeline access, price gouging, or price fixing, or any associated with enforcement of industry regulations such as FERC, the U.S. Commodities Futures Trading Commission, or the U.S. Federal Trade Commission.

ESG TOPIC	SASB CODE	ACCOUNTING METRIC	UNIT	2025 PERFORMANCE
Operational Safety, Emergency Preparedness & Response	EM-MD-540a.1	Number of 1) reportable pipeline incidents, 2) percentage significant	Number, Percentage (%)	1) 0 2) N/A (No significant reportable incident based on the volume release) Summit tracks and reports pipeline incidents according to PHMSA's federal pipeline safety regulations for hazardous liquids and natural gas pipelines.
	EM-MD-540a.2	Percentage of (1) natural gas and (2) hazardous liquid pipelines inspected	Percentage (%)	In 2025, 100% of our PHMSA-regulated liquid pipelines and natural gas that required inspection as prescribed by PHMSA Part 195 or under Summit's IMP were inspected.
	EM-MD-540a.3	Number of (1) accident releases and (2) non-accident releases (NARs) from rail transportation	Number	1) 0 2) 0 Summit does not currently own, operate, or use rail transportation facilities; therefore the number of accident releases and non-accident releases (NARs) from rail transportation is 0.
	EM-MD-540a.4	Discussion of management systems used to integrate a culture of safety and emergency preparedness throughout the value chain and throughout project lifecycles	Discussion and Analysis	Summit has developed a comprehensive Integrity Management Program (IMP) in accordance with state and federal regulations in order to maintain the safety, reliability and integrity of our pipeline assets. The IMP includes Gas Pipeline Integrity Management Plan, Summit Liquids Integrity Management Program, Summit Facility Mechanical Integrity Program, along with Summit Emergency Response Plan (SERP) and Site-Specific Emergency Response Plans (EAPs) to enhance emergency preparedness. Summit invests significant time and capital to maintain the quality and integrity of pipeline systems, ensuring public safety, minimizing environmental impact, and reducing customer outages. Community safety is important for our operations. Summit proactively engages with local communities to share pipeline safety information and promote awareness. We have developed our Damage Prevention and Public Awareness Program to prevent excavation-related incidents while following state and federal guidelines. Pipelines are monitored through aerial and ground surveillance to verify the integrity of the pipeline and to detect potential threats along the pipeline right-of-way. Summit's Emergency Response Manual includes a Health and Safety Policy Statement that articulates Summit's commitment to the safety of people, protection of property, and respectful and responsible stewardship of the environment. The Manual also outlines the SERP and includes guidelines for selected potential emergency conditions such as releases, spills, fires, natural disasters, flooding, tornados, forest fires, injuries, threat of violence, and more. On an annual basis, all field employees receive Hazardous Waste Operations and Emergency Response (HAZWOPER) training.

Appendix C: TCFD Index

CATEGORY	RECOMMENDATION	SUPPORTING RECOMMENDED DISCLOSURES	2025 PERFORMANCE
Governance	Disclose the organization's governance around climate-related risks and opportunities.	a. Describe the board's oversight of climate-related risks and opportunities.	The Nominating, Governance & Sustainability Committee oversees ESG matters at Summit, which includes climate-related factors. The 2026 Proxy Statement lists the key skills, experience and competencies collectively represented by the Summit Board which include clean energy and environmental experience.
		b. Describe management's role in assessing and managing climate-related risks and opportunities.	The Board is supported in its responsibility for oversight of climate change factors by Summit's EVP, General Counsel. The EVP, General Counsel has the highest level of executive management accountability for ESG, including climate change factors. The EVP, General Counsel reports directly to the President, CEO and Chairman of the Board and is responsible for reporting to the Board and the Nominating, Governance & Sustainability Committee on climate change and other ESG factors on a no less than quarterly basis. In addition, Summit maintains a full-time Senior Director of ESG and BPO responsible for leading the Company's short- and long-term sustainability strategy. This role works closely with business process owners across the organization to assess and manage climate-related risks and opportunities, support integration of sustainability considerations into our operations, and advance Summit's long-term objectives for responsible growth and value creation.
Strategy	Disclose the actual and potential impacts of climate-related risks and opportunities on the organization's businesses, strategy, and financial planning where such information is material.	a. Describe the climate-related risks and opportunities the organization has identified over the short, medium, and long term.	Our approach to ESG includes identifying and assessing our exposure to climate-related risks and opportunities. It includes the consideration of both transition and physical risks, as well as climate-related opportunities. The message from our CEO provides additional discussion on Summit's climate-related risks and opportunities. More details are also available in the 10K - Risk Factors Section.
		b. Describe the impact of climate-related risks and opportunities on the organization's businesses, strategy, and financial planning.	As mentioned in our CEO message the 2025 regulatory actions lower the short and mid-term transitional risk related to climate change. However, with the longer term in focus Summit continues to focus on GHG emissions reduction initiatives that are directly tied to addressing climate-related risks and opportunities. Through initiatives such as methane reduction, energy efficiency improvements, electrification, and solar panel installation, Summit aims to lower emissions while improving resilience and positioning itself for long-term value creation.
		c. Describe the resilience of the organization's strategy, taking into consideration different climate-related scenarios, including a 2°C or lower scenario.	Summit continues to monitor and understand the climate-related risks and opportunities via annual risk reviews, corporate strategic and financial planning discussions, and tracking progress by industry peers. We have not yet conducted scenario analysis given our size and stage.

CATEGORY	RECOMMENDATION	SUPPORTING RECOMMENDED DISCLOSURES	2025 PERFORMANCE
Risk Management	Disclose how the organization identifies, assesses, and manages climate-related risks.	a. Describe the organization's processes for identifying and assessing climate-related risks.	Summit's enterprise risk management process is described in detail in the Risk Management section of the 2024 ESG report. Climate-related risks are identified and assessed alongside all other company-wide risks through Summit's quarterly risk identification and assessment process and included in the main risk categories: compliance, financial, strategic and operational. Following the ESG materiality assessment, Summit reviews its corporate risk register to ensure that all relevant climate change factors identified through the assessment are appropriately captured. Summit's ESG materiality assessment is aligned with its Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) process and evaluation scales, ensuring that ESG- and climate-related exposures are assessed with the same rigor and governance applied to other corporate risks.
		b. Describe the organization's processes for managing climate-related risks.	
		c. Describe how processes for identifying, assessing, and managing climate-related risks are integrated into the organization's overall risk management.	
Metrics & Targets	Disclose the metrics and targets used to assess and manage relevant climate-related risks and opportunities where such information is material.	a. Disclose the metrics used by the organization to assess climate-related risks and opportunities in line with its strategy and risk management process.	We look for opportunities to improve our data collection, monitoring, and disclosure as our ESG strategy progresses to provide decision-useful information to our investors and key stakeholders. We have identified a set of metrics to monitor our performance on those ESG factors that are likely to have the greatest effect on our business, including climate change factors. These metrics can be found in Appendix A.
		b. Disclose Scope 1, Scope 2, and, if appropriate, Scope 3 GHG emissions, and the related risks.	Scope 1 GHG emissions: 907,385 metric tons of CO ₂ e. Only GHG emissions that are reported to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) via Federal GHG Reporting (40 CFR 98) were included. Includes full year of emissions for Tall Oak following its acquisition in December 2024. Another but equally big impact on reported emissions is the revisions made by the US EPA to certain emission factors and calculation methodologies. Approximately two-thirds of the increase is attributed to the change in factors and methodology. Scope 2 GHG emissions: 147,137 metric tons of CO ₂ e.
		c. Describe the targets used by the organization to manage climate-related risks and opportunities and performance against targets.	We have not yet set climate-specific targets as we are focused on enhancing our approach to ESG data collection generally and identifying GHG emissions reductions through facility modifications and operating changes.

Appendix D: EIC GPA Midstream Index

Metric		Unit	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021
	Activity						
1.1	EBITDA	Million US \$	278.06	204.62	266.84	212.29	238.42
1.2	Gross Throughput	Thousand BOE	127,937.50	116,858.24	129,461.31	116,448.29	111,206.74
1.3	Miles of Pipeline (Total Pipeline)	Miles	2,760	2,559	2,328	2,045	2,045
1.4	Carbon Accounting Basis for Data	Operational/Equity/Financial	NRP	NRP	NRP	NRP	NRP
	Environment						
	Hydrocarbon Releases						
2.1	Number of hydrocarbon liquid releases beyond secondary containment > 5 bbl	#	36	5	33	29	55
2.2	Volume of hydrocarbon liquid releases beyond secondary containment > 5 bbl	bbbls	119	202	174	126	756
2.3	Hydrocarbon Liquid Releases Intensity per Mile of Pipeline - Total	bbbl/mile	0.043	0.079	0.075	0.062	0.370
	Emissions						
2.4	Total GHG Emissions (Scope 1 + Scope 2) - Total	mt co2e	NRP	NRP	NRP	NRP	NRP
2.4.1	Scope 1 GHG Emissions - Total	mt co2e	NRP	NRP	NRP	NRP	NRP
2.4.1.1	Scope 1 CO2 Emissions - Total	mt CO2	NRP	NRP	NRP	NRP	NRP
2.4.1.2	Scope 1 Methane Emissions - Total	mt CH4	NRP	NRP	NRP	NRP	NRP
2.4.1.3	Scope 1 Nitrous Oxide Emissions - Total	mt N2O	NRP	NRP	NRP	NRP	NRP
2.4.1.4	Percent of Scope 1 emissions that are methane	%	22.84%	7.56%	10.87%	14.76%	18.46%
2.4.2	Scope 1 GHG Emissions - EPA	mt co2e	907,384.85	386,941.39	484,680.12	383,295.84	425,192.60
2.4.2.1	Scope 1 CO2 Emissions - EPA	mt CO2	NRP	NRP	NRP	NRP	NRP
2.4.2.2	Scope 1 Methane Emissions - EPA	mt CH4	7,400	1,044.17	2,106.76	2,262.75	3,139.59
2.4.2.3	Scope 1 Nitrous Oxide Emissions - EPA	mt N2O	NRP	NRP	NRP	NRP	NRP
2.4.3	Scope 2 GHG Emissions	mt co2e	147,137.36	137,413.07	127,704.95	154,128.97	152,925.83
2.5	Total GHG Emissions (Scope 1 + Scope 2) Intensity per Thousand BOE- Total	mt co2e/Thousand BOE	0.0082425	0.0044871	0.0047303	0.0046151	0.0051986
2.6	Scope 1 Methane Emissions Intensity per ONE Future Methodology (See EIC Definitions tab for instructions)		NRP	NRP	NRP	NRP	NRP
2.6.1	For Transmission and Storage Sector	%	NRP	NRP	NRP	NRP	NRP
2.6.2	For Processing Sector	%	NRP	NRP	NRP	NRP	NRP
2.6.3	For Gathering and Boosting Sector	%	NRP	NRP	NRP	NRP	NRP
2.6.4	For Production Sector	%	NRP	NRP	NRP	NRP	NRP

Metric		Unit	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021
2.7	Does the company participate in an external emissions reduction program?	Yes/No	NRP	NRP	NRP	NRP	NRP
2.8	Does the company have a greenhouse gas emissions reduction target? ¹	Yes/No	No	No	No	No	No
2.9	NOx Emissions	Metric Tons	775.51	259.81	247.09	154.89	175.36
2.10	SOx Emissions	Metric Tons	6.98	6.96	8.68	1.20	0.77
2.11	VOC Emissions	Metric Tons	718.12	543.51	566.91	347.77	363.63
2.12	% of electricity used that is renewable	%	NRP	NRP	NRP	NRP	NRP
2.13	Did the company bank GHG reductions from Carbon Capture and Storage Projects?	Yes/No	No	No	No	No	No
2.14	Does the company seek third party data verification for any environmental metrics?	Yes/No	No	No	No	No	No
Asset Diversification and Biodiversity							
2.15	Does the company participate in any efforts to expand the share of alternative/renewable energy sources in the company's portfolio? If yes, please provide links to ESG reports, webpages and other disclosures as support.	Yes/No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
2.16	Does the company have a biodiversity policy or commitment for new and existing assets?	Yes/No	Yes	Yes	NRP	NRP	NRP
Social							
3.1	Total Recordable Incident Rate (TRIR) - employees	#	0.67	2.73	0.77	1.36	0.94
3.2	Total Recordable Incident Rate (TRIR) for major growth projects - contractors	#	NRP	NRP	NRP	NRP	NRP
3.3	Days away, restricted or transferred (DART) - employees	#	NRP	NRP	NRP	NRP	NRP
3.4	Days away, restricted or transferred (DART) for major growth projects - contractors	#	NRP	NRP	NRP	NRP	NRP
3.5	Lost Time Incident Rate (LTIR) - employees	#	0.00	1.17	0.39	0.45	0.47
3.6	Lost Time Incident Rate (LTIR) for major growth projects - contractors	#	NRP	NRP	NRP	NRP	NRP
3.7	Fatalities - employees	#	0	0	0	0	0
3.8	Fatalities - contractors	#	0	0	0	0	0
3.9	Does the company have an indigenous engagement policy or commitment for new and existing assets?	Yes/No	NRP	NRP	NRP	NRP	NRP
3.10	% workforce that is female	%	17%	15%	17%	18%	18%
3.11	% workforce from minority groups (EEOC defined)	%	26%	24%	25%	23%	17%
3.12	% workforce covered under collective bargaining agreements	%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
3.13	Does the company seek third party data verification for any social metrics?	Yes/No	No	No	No	No	No
3.14	\$ invested in local communities per every \$100,000 of adjusted EBITDA	US \$	NRP	NRP	NRP	NRP	NRP

1 We have not yet set climate-specific targets as we are focused on enhancing our approach to ESG data collection generally and identifying GHG emissions reductions through facility modifications and operating changes.

Metric		Unit	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021
Governance							
Diversity							
4.1	% directors that are female	%	14%	14%	14%	14%	14%
4.2	% corporate officers (VP and up) that are female	%	7%	0%	0%	0%	10%
4.3	% directors from minority groups (EEOC defined)	%	29%	29%	29%	29%	14%
4.4	% corporate officers (VP and up) from minority groups (EEOC defined)	%	7%	20%	17%	18%	10%
4.5	Is any director under the age of 50?	Yes/No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Directors							
4.6	% independent directors	%	86%	86%	86%	86%	86%
4.7	How many directors received less than 80% votes cast in favor when running unopposed in last 5 years?	#	0	0	0	0	N/A
4.7.1	Does the company have a formal ESG oversight structure with associated accountability?	Yes/No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
4.8	Does the company have directors with risk management experience?	Yes/No	Yes	Yes	NRP	NRP	NRP
Compensation							
4.9	Has the company received less than 70% support for Say On Pay in any of the last 5 years?	Yes/No	No	No	No	Yes	No
4.10	What % of CEO target pay is performance-based? ²	%	87.2%	84.7%	84.6%	87.3%	84.0%
4.11	What % of CEO target pay is equity-based? ²	%	67.9%	61.8%	61.5%	68.2%	60.0%
4.12	Are there any shareholder return metrics (total return, return on invested capital, etc.) in any NEO equity compensation plan?	Yes/No	Yes	Yes	Yes	NRP	NRP
4.13	Is at least 10% of Named Executive Officer (NEO) short-term incentive (STI) or long-term incentive (LTI) linked to E or S metrics?	Yes/No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
4.14	Does the company tie any amount of pay for management and/or employees to ESG objectives?	Yes/No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

2 As disclosed in the Annual Proxy Statement. Please see the Proxy for more details.

Metric		Unit	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021
Share Ownership							
4.15	Have any corporate officers or directors made share purchases with personal funds in the last 5 years?	Yes/No	NRP	NRP	NRP	NRP	NRP
Board Oversight							
Which of these data sets are collected and shared with board?							
4.16.1	Voluntary employee turnover company-wide and by at least one additional level (e.g. business unit, location, or division)	Yes/No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	NRP
4.16.2	% of employees who participate in company sponsored matching gift programs and/or volunteer for corporate sponsored charitable events	Yes/No	Yes	Yes	NRP	NRP	NRP
4.16.3	Gender Pay Ratio	Yes/No	Yes	Yes	NRP	NRP	NRP
4.16.4	Underlying data from an employee satisfaction survey that is anonymous and at least annual	Yes/No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	NRP
Supply Chain							
4.17	Does the company require suppliers to sign off on a code of conduct or equivalent codes?	Yes/No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	NRP
Cybersecurity							
Does the company undertake any of the following to manage cybersecurity risk?							
4.18.1	Mandatory employee training	Yes/No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	NRP
4.18.2	Adherence to industry cybersecurity standards	Yes/No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
4.18.3	Ongoing evaluation of the threat landscape	Yes/No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
4.19	Does the company publish an annual proxy statement? If no, expand for more metrics (<i>click "+" to the left</i>)	Yes/No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
4.19.1	Does the company have an IDR structure? ³	Yes/No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
4.19.2	What is the ownership structure of the General Partner? ³	Externally or Sponsor-owned / Wholly owned by the MLP / other	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
4.19.3	What % of the Limited Partnership board is elected by unit holders? ³	%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
4.19.4	What level of detail does the Limited Partnership publicly provide regarding compensation of named executives? ³	Full, Partial, None	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

3 This question is not applicable to Summit, as we publish an annual proxy statement.

Metric		Unit	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021
Cybersecurity							
4.19.5	Does the Limited Partnership have stock ownership guidelines in place for the CEO? ⁴	Yes/No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
4.19.5.1	What multiple of the CEO's base salary is he or she required to own in Limited Partnership units? ⁵	x times / N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
4.19.6	Does the Limited Partnership have stock ownership guidelines in place for directors? ⁵	Yes/No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
4.19.6.1	If directors of the Limited Partnership receive an annual cash retainer, what multiple of such annual cash retainer is he or she required to own in Limited Partnership units? ⁵	x times / N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
4.19.6.2	What multiple of the GP's independent director's annual cash retainer is he or she required to own in Limited Partnership units? ⁵	x times / N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

- 4 Stock ownership guidelines for Summit Midstream Corporation's (SMC) Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and directors are established at the SMC level following its corporate reorganization effective August 1, 2024. For details, see SMC's 2026 Proxy Statement.
- 5 This question is not applicable to Summit, as we publish an annual proxy statement.

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Investors are cautioned that certain statements contained in this report as well as in periodic press releases and certain oral statements made by our officers and employees during our presentations are “forward looking” statements. Forward-looking statements include, without limitation, any statements that may project, indicate or imply future results, events, performance or achievements and may contain the words “expect,” “intend,” “plan,” “anticipate,” “estimate,” “believe,” “will be,” “will continue,” “will likely result,” and similar expressions, or future conditional verbs such as “may,” “will,” “should,” “would,” and “could.” In addition, any statements concerning future financial performance (including future revenues, earnings or growth rates), ongoing business strategies or prospects, and possible actions taken by us or our subsidiaries are also forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements involve various risks and uncertainties, including, but not limited to, those described in Item 1A. Risk Factors included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Forward-looking statements are based on current expectations and projections about future events and are inherently subject to a variety of risks and uncertainties, many of which are beyond the control of our management team. All forward-looking statements in this report and subsequent written and oral forward-looking statements attributable to us, or to persons acting on our behalf, are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements in this paragraph. These risks and uncertainties include, among others:

- our decision whether to pay, or our ability to grow, our cash distributions;
- fluctuations in natural gas, NGLs and crude oil prices, including as a result of political or economic measures taken by various countries or OPEC;
- the extent and success of our customers’ drilling and completion efforts, as well as the quantity of natural gas, crude oil and produced water volumes produced within proximity of our assets;
- the current and potential future impact of the COVID-19 or other pandemic on our business, results of operations, financial position or cash flows;
- failure or delays by our customers in achieving expected production in their natural gas, crude oil and produced water projects;
- competitive conditions in our industry and their impact on our ability to connect hydrocarbon supplies to our gathering and processing assets or systems;

- actions or inactions taken or nonperformance by third parties, including suppliers, contractors, operators, processors, transporters and customers, including the inability or failure of our shipper customers to meet their financial obligations under our gathering agreements and our ability to enforce the terms and conditions of certain of our gathering agreements in the event of a bankruptcy of one or more of our customers;
- our ability to divest certain of our assets to third parties on attractive terms, which is subject to a number of factors, including prevailing conditions and outlook in the natural gas, NGL and crude oil industries and markets;
- the ability to attract and retain key personnel;
- commercial bank and capital market conditions and the potential impact of changes or disruptions in the credit and/or capital markets;
- changes in the availability and cost of capital and the results of our financing efforts, including availability of funds in the credit and/or capital markets;
- restrictions placed on us by the agreements governing our debt and preferred equity instruments;
- the availability, terms and cost of downstream transportation and processing services;
- natural disasters, accidents, weather-related delays, casualty losses and other matters beyond our control;
- operational risks and hazards inherent in the gathering, compression, treating and/or processing of natural gas, crude oil and produced water;
- our ability to comply with the terms of the agreements comprising the Global Settlement;
- weather conditions and terrain in certain areas in which we operate;
- physical and financial risks associated with climate change;
- any other issues that can result in deficiencies in the design, installation or operation of our gathering, compression, treating and processing facilities;
- timely receipt of necessary government approvals and permits, our ability to control the costs of construction, including costs of materials, labor and rights-of-way and other factors that may impact our ability to complete projects within budget and on schedule;
- our ability to finance our obligations related to capital expenditures, including through opportunistic asset divestitures or joint ventures and the impact any such divestitures or joint ventures could have on our results;
- the effects of existing and future laws and government regulations, including environmental, safety and climate change requirements and federal, state and local

restrictions or requirements applicable to oil and/or gas drilling, production or transportation;

- changes in tax status;
- the effects of litigation;
- changes in general economic conditions; and
- certain factors discussed elsewhere in this report.

Developments in any of these areas could cause actual results to differ materially from those anticipated or projected or cause a significant reduction in the market price of our common stock, preferred stock and debt instruments.

The foregoing list of risks and uncertainties may not contain all the risks and uncertainties that could affect us. In addition, considering these risks and uncertainties, the matters referred to in the forward-looking statements contained in this document may not in fact occur. Accordingly, undue reliance should not be placed on these statements. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as otherwise required by law.